Optimum CUSUM Tests

for

Detecting Changes in Continuous Time Processes

George V. Moustakides
INRIA, Rennes, France

Outline

- The change detection problem
- Overview of existing results
- Lorden's criterion and the CUSUM test
- A modified Lorden criterion
- CUSUM tests for Ito processes
- Extensions

The change detection problem

We are observing sequentially a process $\{\xi_t\}$ with the following statistics:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_t &\sim \mathbb{P}_\infty \quad \text{for } 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \tau \\ &\sim \mathbb{P}_0 \quad \text{for } \tau < t \end{aligned}$$

Goal: Detect the change time τ **"as soon as possible"**

- Change time τ : deterministic (but unknown) or random
- Probability measures $\mathbb{P}_\infty$, $\mathbb{P}_0$: known

Applications include: systems monitoring; quality control; financial decision making; remote sensing (radar, sonar, seismology); speech/image/video segmentation; …

The observation process $\{\xi_t\}$ is available sequentially.
This can be expressed through the filtration:

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{\xi_s : 0 < s \leqslant t\}.$$

- Interested in **sequential detection schemes**.
  At every time instant t we perform a test to decide whether to stop and declare an alarm or continue sampling. The test at time t must be based on the available information up to time t.
- Any sequential detection scheme can be represented by a **stopping time** T adapted to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ (the time we stop and declare an alarm).

Overview of existing results



$\mathbb{P}_\tau$: the probability measure induced, when the change takes place at time τ

$\mathbb{E}_\tau[.]$: the corresponding expectation

$\mathbb{P}_\infty$: all data under nominal regime

$\mathbb{P}_0$: all data under alternative regime

Optimality criteria

They must take into account two quantities:

- The detection delay T - τ
- The frequency of false alarms

Possible approaches: **Baysian and Min-max**

Baysian approach (Shiryayev 1978)

The change time τ is random with exponential prior.

For any stopping time T define the criterion:

$$J(T) = c\mathbb{E}[\ (T - \tau)^+\ ] + \mathbb{P}[\ T < \tau\ ]$$

Optimization problem: $\inf_T J(T)$

Compute the statistics: $\pi_t = \mathbb{P}[\ \tau \leqslant t \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$;

and stop: $T_S = \inf_t \{\ t\colon \pi_t \geqslant \nu\ \}$

- Discrete time: when $\{\xi_n\}$ is i.i.d. and there is a change in the pdf from $f_\infty(\xi)$ to $f_0(\xi)$.
- Continuous time: when $\{\xi_t\}$ is a Brownian Motion and there is a change in the constant drift from μ_∞ to μ_0.

Min-max approach (Shiryayev-Roberts-Pollak)

The change time τ is deterministic but unknown.

For any stopping time T define the criterion:

$$J(T) = \sup_\tau \mathbb{E}_\tau[\ (T - \tau)^+ \mid T > \tau\ ]$$

Optimization problem: $\inf_T J(T)$;

subject to: $\mathbb{E}_\infty[\ T\ ] \geqslant \gamma$

Discrete time: when $\{\xi_n\}$ is i.i.d. and there is a change in the pdf from $f_\infty(\xi)$ to $f_0(\xi)$.

Compute the statistics: $S_n = (S_{n-1} + 1)\ \dfrac{f_0(\xi_n)}{f_\infty(\xi_n)}$.

and stop (Yakir 1997): $T_{SRP} = \inf_n \{\ n\colon S_n \geqslant \nu\ \}$

Lorden's criterion and the CUSUM test

Alternative min-max approach (Lorden 1971):

The change time τ is deterministic and unknown.
For any stopping time T define the criterion:

$$J(T) = \sup_\tau \operatorname{essup} \mathbb{E}_\tau[\ (T - \tau)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau\ ]$$

Optimization problem: $\inf_T J(T)$;

subject to: $\mathbb{E}_\infty[\ T\ ] \geqslant \gamma$.

The test closely related to Lorden's criterion and being the most popular test for the change detection problem in practice, is the **Cumulative Sum** (CUSUM) test.

Define the CUSUM process y_t as follows:

$$y_t = u_t - m_t$$

where


$$u_t = \log\left(\frac{d\mathbb{P}_0}{d\mathbb{P}_\infty}(\mathcal{F}_t)\right)$$

$$m_t = \inf_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} u_s \, .$$

The CUSUM stopping time (Page 1954):

$$T_C = \inf_t \{ \, t \colon y_t \geqslant \nu \, \}$$

- Discrete time: when $\{\xi_n\}$ is i.i.d. before and after the change (Moustakides 1986, Ritov 1990).
- Continuous time: when $\{\xi_t\}$ is a Brownian Motion with constant drift before and after the change (Shiryayev 1996, Beibel 1996).


u_t
m_t
T_C

A modified Lorden criterion

We intend to extend the optimality of CUSUM to detection of changes in **Ito processes** by modifying Lorden's criterion using the **Kullback-Leibler Divergence (KLD)**.

Similar extension exists for the Sequential Probability Ratio Test (SPRT), applied in hypotheses testing, since 1978 (Liptser and Shiryayev)

The observation process $\{\xi_t\}$ satisfies the following sde:

$$d\xi_t = \begin{cases} dw_t & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \tau \\ \alpha_t\, dt + dw_t & \tau < t \end{cases}$$

$\{w_t\}$ standard Brownian Motion

$\{\alpha_t\}$ adapted to the history $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{\xi_s : 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t\}$

If $\alpha_t = \alpha(\xi_t)$, then ξ_t is a diffusion process for $t > \tau$.

To $\{\xi_t\}$ we correspond the following process $\{u_t\}$

$$du_t = \alpha_t \, d\xi_t - 0.5\alpha_t^2 \, dt \; .$$

We would like: $u_t = \log\Big(\frac{d\mathbb{P}_0}{d\mathbb{P}_\infty}(\mathcal{F}_t) \Big).$

We need the following conditions:

1. $\mathbb{P}_0\Big[\int_0^t \alpha_s^2 ds < \infty\Big] = \mathbb{P}_\infty\Big[\int_0^t \alpha_s^2 ds < \infty\Big] = 1$

2. A “Novikov” condition

3. $\mathbb{P}_0\Big[\int_0^\infty \alpha_s^2 ds = \infty\Big] = \mathbb{P}_\infty\Big[\int_0^\infty \alpha_s^2 ds = \infty\Big] = 1$

From Condition 1&2 we have validity of Girsanov's theorem:

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_0}{d\mathbb{P}_\infty}(\mathcal{F}_t) = e^{u_t} \qquad \frac{d\mathbb{P}_\tau}{d\mathbb{P}_\infty}(\mathcal{F}_t) = e^{u_t - u_\tau}$$

The Kullback-Leibler Divergence can then be written as:

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau\left[\log\left(\frac{d\mathbb{P}_\tau}{d\mathbb{P}_\infty}(\mathcal{F}_t)\right)\Big|\mathcal{F}_\tau\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_\tau\left[\int_\tau^t \alpha_s\, dw_s + 0.5\int_\tau^t \alpha_s^2\, ds \;\Big|\; \mathcal{F}_\tau\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_\tau\left[0.5\int_\tau^t \alpha_s^2\, ds \;\Big|\; \mathcal{F}_\tau\right], \quad 0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant t$$

The original Lorden criterion

$$J(T) = \sup_\tau \operatorname{essup} \mathbb{E}_\tau[\ (T - \tau)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau\ ]$$

using the Kullback-Leibler Divergence can be modified as

$$J(T) = \sup_\tau \operatorname{essup} \mathbb{E}_\tau\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{T > \tau\}} 0.5 \int_\tau^T \alpha_t^2\, dt \;\middle|\; \mathcal{F}_\tau\ \right]$$

The two criteria are equivalent in the case

$$\alpha_t^2 = \ constant$$

i.e. Brownian motion with constant drift.

Similarly

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty\left[\log\left(\frac{d\mathbb{P}_\infty}{d\mathbb{P}_0}(\mathcal{F}_t)\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_\infty\left[- \int_0^t \alpha_s \, dw_s + 0.5 \int_0^t \alpha_s^2 \, ds \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_\infty\left[0.5 \int_0^t \alpha_s^2 \, ds \right]$$

This suggest replacing the constraint $\mathbb{E}_\infty[\, T \,] \geqslant \gamma$ with

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty\left[0.5 \int_0^T \alpha_t^2 \, dt \right] \geqslant \gamma$$

Summarizing:

$$J(T) = \sup_\tau \operatorname{essup} \mathbb{E}_\tau\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{T>\tau\}} 0.5 \int_\tau^T \alpha_t^2\, dt \;\middle|\; \mathcal{F}_\tau\right]$$

Optimization problem: $\inf_T J(T);$

subject to: $\mathbb{E}_\infty\left[0.5 \int_0^T \alpha_t^2\, dt\right] \geqslant \gamma$

CUSUM tests for Ito processes

The CUSUM statistics y_t for Ito processes takes the form

$$du_t = \alpha_t \, d\xi_t - 0.5\alpha_t^2 \, dt$$

$$m_t = \inf_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} u_s$$


$$y_t = u_t - m_t$$

and the optimum CUSUM test is

$$T_C = \inf_t \{ \, t \colon y_t \geqslant \nu \, \}$$

where ν such that: $\mathbb{E}_\infty \left[0.5 \int_0^{T_C} \alpha_t^2 \, dt \, \right] = \gamma$

Since y_t has continuous paths, when the CUSUM test stops we have: $y_{T_C} = \nu$.



- Since $u_t \geqslant m_t$ we conclude $y_t = u_t - m_t \geqslant 0$
- m_t is nonincreasing and $dm_t \neq 0$ only when $u_t = m_t$ or $y_t = u_t - m_t = 0$
- If $f(y)$ continuous with $f(0) = 0$, then $f(y_t)dm_t = 0$

If $f(y)$ is a twice continuously differentiable function with $f'(0) = 0$, using standard Ito calculus, we can write

$$df(y_t) = f'(y_t)(du_t - dm_t) + 0.5\alpha_t^2 f''(y_t)dt$$

$$= f'(y_t)du_t + 0.5\alpha_t^2 f''(y_t)dt$$

Theorem 1: T_C is a.s. finite, furthermore

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > \tau\}} 0.5 \int_\tau^{T_C} \alpha_t^2\, dt \;\middle|\; \mathcal{F}_\tau\right] = [g(\nu) - g(y_\tau)]\; \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > \tau\}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > \tau\}} 0.5 \int_\tau^{T_C} \alpha_t^2 dt \;\middle|\; \mathcal{F}_\tau\right] = [h(\nu) - h(y_\tau)]\; \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > \tau\}}$$

$$g(y) = y + e^{-y} - 1 \qquad\qquad h(y) = e^{y} - y - 1$$

The functions $g(y), h(y)$ are increasing, strictly convex, with $g(0) = h(0) = 0$. We can therefore conclude

$$J(T_C) = \sup_\tau \operatorname{essup} \mathbb{E}_\tau\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > \tau\}} 0.5 \int_\tau^{T_C} \alpha_t^2 dt \;\middle|\; \mathcal{F}_\tau \right]$$

$$= \sup_\tau \operatorname{essup} [g(\nu) - g(y_\tau)]\, \mathbb{1}_{\{T_C > \tau\}}$$

$$= g(\nu) - g(0) = g(\nu) = \nu + e^{-\nu} - 1$$

Similarly

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty\left[0.5 \int_0^{T_C} \alpha_t^2 \, dt \right] = h(\nu) - h(0) = h(\nu) = \gamma$$

$$e^\nu - \nu - 1 = \gamma$$

For any stopping time T, using again standard Ito calculus, we have the following corollary of Theorem 1

Corollary:

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{T>\tau\}} 0.5 \int_\tau^T \alpha_t^2\, dt \middle| \mathcal{F}_\tau\right] = \mathbb{E}_\tau[g(y_T)\text{-}g(y_\tau)|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\ \mathbb{1}_{\{T>\tau\}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{T>\tau\}} 0.5 \int_\tau^T \alpha_t^2 dt \middle| \mathcal{F}_\tau\right] = \mathbb{E}_\infty[h(y_T)\text{-}h(y_\tau)|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\ \mathbb{1}_{\{T>\tau\}}$$

Remark 1: The false alarm constraint can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty\left[0.5 \int_0^T \alpha_t^2\, dt\ \right] = \mathbb{E}_\infty[h(y_T)\text{-}h(0)]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_\infty[h(y_T)] \geqslant \gamma$$

Remark 2: The modified performance measure $J(T)$ can be suitably lower bounded as follows

$$J(T) = \sup_\tau \operatorname{essup} \mathbb{E}_\tau\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{T>\tau\}} 0.5 \int_\tau^T \alpha_t^2\, dt \;\middle|\; \mathcal{F}_\tau\right]$$

$$\geqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}_\infty[e^{y_T} g(y_T)]}{\mathbb{E}_\infty[e^{y_T}]}$$

In the case of CUSUM the lower bound coincides with the corresponding performance measure $J(T_C)$

Remark 3: We can limit ourselves to stopping times that satisfy the false alarm constraint **with equality**, i.e

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[h(y_T)] = \gamma = h(\nu)$$

Theorem 2: Any stopping T that satisfies the false alarm constraint with equality has a performance measure $J(T)$ that is no less than $J(T_C) = g(\nu)$.

Proof: Let T satisfy the false alarm constraint with equality, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[h(y_T)] = \gamma = h(\nu)$$

we then like to show that: $J(T) \geqslant g(\nu)$.


Since $J(T) \geqslant \dfrac{\mathbb{E}_\infty[e^{y_T} g(y_T)]}{\mathbb{E}_\infty[e^{y_T}]}$ it is sufficient to show

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[e^{y_T}\{g(y_T) - g(\nu)\} + h(\nu) - h(y_T)] \geqslant 0$$

If we define the function

$$p(y) = e^{y}\{g(y) - g(\nu)\} + h(\nu) - h(y)$$

then the previous inequality becomes: $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[p(y_T)] \geqslant 0$



We observe that $p(y) \geqslant 0$

therefore we also have $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}[p(y_T)] \geqslant 0$

with equality iff $y_T = \nu$, i.e. the CUSUM test.

Extensions

Can our result be extended to the discrete time case?

$$\xi_n = \begin{cases} w_n & 0 \leqslant n \leqslant \tau \\ \alpha_{n\text{-}1} + w_n & \tau < n \end{cases}$$

$\{w_n\}$ an i.i.d. Gaussian process
$\{\alpha_n\}$ adapted to the history $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma\{\xi_k : 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n\}$

Not Straightforward !

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{T>\tau\}}\, 0.5 \sum_{k=\tau}^{T} \alpha_k^2 \,\middle|\, \mathcal{F}_\tau\right] \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbb{E}[g(y_T)\text{-}g(y_\tau)|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\ \mathbb{1}_{\{T>\tau\}}$$

Similar problem exists for SPRT.

Straightforward extension for scalar processes

$$d\xi_t = \begin{cases} \alpha_t\, dt + \sigma_t dw_t & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \tau \\ \beta_t\, dt + \sigma_t dw_t & \tau < t \end{cases}$$

or vector processes

$$d\Xi_t = \begin{cases} A_t\, dt + \Sigma_t dW_t & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \tau \\ B_t\, dt + \Sigma_t dW_t & \tau < t \end{cases}$$

The Center for Applied Probability at Columbia University

presents the

11th Annual Applied Probability Day

Friday, April 4, 2003
Columbia University, New York City

SCHEDULE:

8:30-9:00 **Coffee and Danish**

9:00-9:15 **Opening Remarks: Chris C. Heyde, Director of CAP**

9:15-10:00 **Cheng-Der Fuh (Inst. of Statistical Science, Academia Sinica, Taiwan)**
SPRT and CUSUM in Hidden Markov Models

10:00-10:45 **Harold Kushner (Brown University)**
Proportional Fair Sharing Algorithms for Mobile Communications

10:45-11:15 **Coffee Break**

11:15-12:00 **Philippe Robert (INRIA, Rocqencourt, France)**
Stochastic models of data transmission in communication networks

12:00-2:00 **Lunch Break**

2:00-2:45 **George Moustakides (IRISA - INRIA, Rennes, France)**
Optimum CUSUM tests for detecting changes in continuous time processes

2:45-3:30 **John P. Lehoczky (Carnegie Mellon University)**
Heavy Traffic Limit Theorems for Real-Time Computer Systems

3:30-4:00 **Coffee Break**

4:00-4:45 **Alexander Stolyar (Bell Labs Research)**
Scheduling of Flexible Interdependent Time-varying Servers

4:45-6:00 **Wine and Cheese Reception**

Interschool Lab, 7th Floor
Schapiro CEPSR Building, 530 West 120th Street

REGISTRATION IS FREE
For further information visit www.cap.columbia.edu

E-mail: cap@columbia.edu

http://www.cap.columbia.edu/APD03.html